Phosphaalkyne cyclodimerization at a rhodium(I) centre. Syntheses of a cationic η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene rhodium complex and of its platinum(II) or tungsten(0) adducts

Mohamed F. Meidine,^{*a*} Armando J. L. Pombeiro *^{*a*} and John F. Nixon ^{*b*}

^a Centro de Química Estrutural, Complexo I, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: pombeiro@alfa.ist.utl.pt

^b School of Chemistry, Physics and Environmental Science, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK BN1 9QJ. E-mail: J.Nixon@sussex.ac.uk

Received 20th April 1999, Accepted 2nd July 1999

Treatment of [RhCl(triphos)] [triphos = PPh(CH₂CH₂PPh₂)₂] in thf with P=CBu^t, in the presence of TlBF₄, gave the η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(PCBu^t)₂}][BF₄] **1a** which formed the diadducts [Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 : η^1 -[W(CO)₅]₂(PCBu^t)₂}][BF₄] **2** or [Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 : η^1 -[PtCl₂(PEt₃)]₂(PCBu^t)₂}][BF₄] **3** on reaction with [W(CO)₅(thf)] or [Pt₂Cl₄(PEt₃)₂], respectively. These adducts dissociated in solution, the former in the presence of Na[BPh₄] to give [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(PCBu^t)₂}][BPh₄] **1b**, and the latter to the mono- η^1 -adduct [Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 -[PtCl₂(PEt₃)](PCBu^t)₂}][BF₄] **4**. Reactions of [RhCl(triphos)] in th with the 1-alkynes HC=CR (R = CO₂Me or CO₂Et) in the presence of Tl[BF₄] afforded the corresponding benzene derivative complexes [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(HCCR)₃}][BF₄] **5a** or **5b**.

Introduction

Phosphaalkynes are known to undergo a variety of cycloaddition reactions at transition metal centres to generate novel P-containing rings,^{1,2} and in particular η^{4} -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes of Group 9 metals ³⁻¹² have been prepared in such a way. However, in contrast to Co, the yields of the η^{4} -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene compounds of Rh are commonly low and a variety of other products can be formed involving *e.g.* the co-ordination of the phosphorus lone pair to another metal centre, the formation of a metallacycle or the occurrence of P–P coupling, namely in the reactions of a phosphaalkyne with η^{5} -indenyl or η^{5} -cyclopentadienyl complexes such as [Rh(η^{5} -L)(η^{2} -CH₂=CH₂)] (L = C₉H₇, C₅H₅ or C₅Me₅).³⁻⁶

We have selected a rhodium centre, [RhCl(triphos)] [triphos-= PPh(CH₂CH₂PPh₂)₂], presenting a tridentate ligand with different steric and electronic properties to those of η^5 -indenyl or η^5 -cyclopentadienyl and now report its reaction with P=CBu^t, which leads to the selective formation, in high yield, of the η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(P=CBu^t)₂}][BF₄] **1a**. Moreover in view of our interest in the comparison of the co-ordination chemistries of phosphaalkynes and alkynes,^{1,9,13-16} we have also investigated the reactions of HC=CR (R = CO₂Me or CO₂Et) with the above Rh–triphos starting material and noticed that, in contrast with P=CBu^t, alkyne cyclodimerization is not the preferred reaction.

Results and discussion

The reaction of $P=CBu^t$ with [RhCl(triphos)], in thf, in the presence of TlBF₄ as a chloride ligand abstractor, results in cyclodimerization of the phosphaalkyne to form the η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(PCBu^t)₂}]-[BF₄] **1a** (reaction 1, Scheme 1) which was isolated in high yield (80%) as a yellow solid and characterized (see Experimental section) by elemental analysis, IR, ¹H, ³¹P-{¹H} and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectroscopies and FAB-MS spectrometry.

The reported syntheses of the related η^{5} -cyclopentadienyltype complexes $[M(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})\{\eta^{4}-(PCBu^{t})_{2}\}]$ (M = Co, Rh or Ir; R = H or Me)^{3,4} and η^{5} -indenyl compounds $[M(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})\{\eta^{4}-$ $(PCBu^{t})_{2}$ $(M = Co^{5} \text{ or } Rh^{6})$ occur *via* displacement of the two ethylene ligands from the corresponding diethylene parent complexes, and, in the case of Rh, the yields are low and other types of products are also formed, ⁶ *e.g.* metallacycles, P–P rings or bridging cyclobutadiene ligands in polynuclear assemblies. Our synthesis is more selective towards a mononuclear η^{4} -1,3diphosphacyclobutadiene complex and has a higher yield. The different steric hindrance of triphos compared with the cyclopentadienyl-type ligands, as well as its distinct electronic properties, conceivably constitute favourable factors for the above reaction.

L PAPEF

In the ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectrum of complex **1a** the P=*C*CMe₃ signal of the η^4 -diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand occurs as a broad resonance at δ 101.0, whereas that of P=CCMe₃ is observed as a broad singlet at δ 33.30. These chemical shifts are in agreement with those reported⁶ for the related (η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene)(η^6 -indenyl)rhodium complex [Rh(η^5 -C₉H₇){ η^4 -(PCBu^t)₂}] (δ 112.7 and 34.5), respectively, although in **1a** the broadness of the resonances precluded the estimate of *J*(CP) and *J*(CRh).

The ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectrum of complex **1a** presents a rather complicated pattern which was successfully analysed (Fig. 1) as an AA'MRR'X spin system (A, A' = P₁P₂; M = P₃; R, R' = P₄, P₅; X = Rh, see Scheme 1). In particular, the resonance of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ³¹P nuclei (A, A') is a complex multiplet centred at δ 83.51 (relative to H₃PO₄) with ²*J*(P₁P₂) = 17.0 and *J*(P₁Rh) = *J*(P₂Rh) = 17.1 Hz. The latter coupling constant is smaller than those observed [*J*(P₃Rh) = 138.0, *J*(P₄Rh) = *J*(P₅Rh) = 129.5 Hz] between the metal and the phosphine ³¹P nuclei, and is even lower than those reported, *ca*. 30 Hz, for [Rh(η⁵-C₅R₅){η⁴-(PCBu^t)₂}] (R = H or Me)³ and [Rh(η⁵-C₉H₇){η⁴-(PCBu^t)₂}],⁶ thus ruling out³ the phosphorus metallacycle ring RhP=C(Bu^t)C(Bu^t)=P or possible η¹-P binding mode where a value of *J*(PRh) of 150–200 Hz would be more typical.

In the FAB-MS spectrum of complex 1a the molecular ion and the fragment derived from loss of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ring are observed at 839 (M^+) and 638 $([M - 2PCBu^t]^+)$. Complex 1a, in thf, reacts with $[W(CO)_5(thf)]$, added in a



Scheme 1 Reactions of [RhCl(triphos)] with P=CBu^t [triphos = $P_4P_3P_5 = PPh(CH_2CH_2PPh_2)_2$].

2.7:1 molar ratio, to form (reaction 2, Scheme 1) the bis- η^{1} -adduct [Rh(triphos){ η^{4} : η^{1} : η^{1} -[W(CO)_s]₂(PCBu¹)₂}][BF₄] **2**, resulting from ligation of each of the electron lone pairs of the two phosphorus atoms of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ring to a {W(CO)_s} centre. The co-ordination of one such P atom to another rhodium site has been recognized previously in other complexes such as [Rh(η^{5} -C₅H₅){ η^{4} -(PCBu¹)₂}]⁷ or [Rh(η^{5} -C₉H₇){ η^{4} -(PCBu¹)₂}]⁶ and in the present case the addition reaction proceeded further towards a diadduct involving both P atoms of the ring. Complex **2** was isolated (77% yield) as a greenish orange powder and characterized (see Experimental section) by elemental analysis, IR, ¹H and ¹³P-{¹H} NMR spectroscopies and FAB-MS spectrometry.

The ligation of the P atoms (P₁ and P₂) of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ring to the {W(CO)₅} centres does not result in a drastic change of the ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectrum which still exhibits an AA'MRR'X spin system with a slight shift of the complex resonance of such phosphorus nuclei from δ 83.51 (1a) to 77.76 (2), and a slight increase of $J(P_1Rh) = J(P_2Rh)$ from 17 (1a) to 24 Hz, showing that the identity of the ring has been preserved. The coupling of P₁ or P₂ to ¹⁸³W could not be assigned due to the rather complex pattern of the signal.

The adduct **2** undergoes dissociation in CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH, in the presence of Na[BPh₄], to regenerate (reaction 3, Scheme 1) the parent complex (isolated in 85% yield) although with $[BPh_4]^-$ as the counter ion (**1b**). For this product no IR band

which could be assigned to v(CO) was detected, and its ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectrum was similar to that of **1a**.

The ability of the ring phosphorus atoms to act as donor sites towards {PtCl₂(PEt₃)} was also tested and the diadduct [Rh(triphos){ $\eta^4: \eta^1: \eta^1-[PtCl_2(PEt_3)]_2(PCBu^t)_2$ }][BF₄] **3** was obtained as an orange solid (reaction 4, Scheme 1). This reaction parallels that observed⁸ for [Co($\eta^5-C_5Me_5$){ $\eta^4-(PCBu^t)_2$ }] which adds the same platinum centre to form [Co($\eta^5-C_5Me_5$){ $\eta^4-Pt_2Cl_4(PEt_3)_2(PCBu^t)_2$ }] as well as the intermediate mono-adduct.

The molecular ion of the diadduct **3** is observed in its FAB-MS spectrum, as well as the expected fragments derived upon sequential loss of the platinum sites and of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ring, *i.e.* $[M - {PtCl_2(PEt_3)}]^+$, $[M - {PtCl_2(PEt_3)}_2]^+$ and $[M - {PtCl_2(PEt_3)}_2]^-$.

Complex 3 in CH₂Cl₂ solution undergoes a partial discussion to form the monoadduct [Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 -[PtCl₂(PEt₃)]-(PCBu^t)₂}][BF₄] 4 (reaction 5, Scheme 1) which was the product detected by ³¹P-{¹H} NMR. The resonance of the ring-P nucleus (P₂) ligated to the PtCl₂(PEt₃) centre is a doublet [²J(P₂P₆) = 493 Hz, P₆ = P nucleus at PEt₃] of doublets [²J(P₂P₁) = 70 Hz] of multiplets, with the expected ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites [J(P₂Pt) = 1987 Hz]. The high ²J(P₂P₆) value is indicative of a *trans* arrangement of the PEt₃ and the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligating the Pt as observed⁸ for the adduct [Co(η^5 -C₅Me₅){ η^4 -PtCl₂(PEt₃)(PCBu^t)₂}]. The ³¹P resonance



Fig. 1 Experimental (a) and simulated (b) ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra of complex **1a** (CD₂Cl₂) analysed as an AA'MRR'X (A, A' = P₁, P₂; M = P₃; R, R' = P₄, P₅; X = Rh) spin system.

associated to the $P_{(6)}Et_3$ ligand is the expected doublet $[{}^2J(P_6P_2) = 493 \text{ Hz}]$ at $\delta 18.55 \text{ with } {}^{195}\text{Pt}$ satellites $[J(P_6Pt) = 2901 \text{ Hz}]$. The starting material $[Pt_2Cl_4(PEt_3)_2]$ formed in solution upon dissociation of **3** was also detected by its characteristic singlet at $\delta 12.08 \text{ with } {}^{195}\text{Pt}$ satellites [J(PPt) = 3827 Hz].

For comparative purposes, we have also investigated the reactions of [RhCl(triphos)], in thf, with the alkynes HC=CR $(R = CO_2Me \text{ or } CO_2Et)$ in the presence of TlBF₄. They appear to lead to the formation of mixtures of isomers of complexes which we tentatively formulate as the products of alkyne cyclotrimerization [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(HCCR)₃}][BF₄] (R = CO₂Me 5a or CO₂Et 5b) mainly on the basis of elemental analysis, FAB-MS spectrometry and IR spectroscopy (in view of the presence of various isomers, their ¹H and ³¹P-{¹H} NMR signals could not clearly be identified) which indicate e.g the presence of 3 HCCR groups in the molecule. Since the co-ordination of three alkyne molecules would not be expected (one of the P atoms of the strongly co-ordinated triphos should be displaced from the metal co-ordination sphere), a coupling process should occur conceivably involving the cyclotrimerization of the alkynes. In agreement, the FAB-MS spectra of 5a and 5b clearly exhibit the corresponding molecular ion signals, as well as those for the fragments derived from the loss of one and three HCCR

groups, but not from the loss of two of them. Hence, an alternative formulation with a η^2 -cyclobutadiene and a η^2 -alkyne ligand would be less favoured, although it cannot be ruled out.

Other examples of the formation of η^4 -arene complexes by cyclotrimerization of alkynes are known, namely in the reactions of $[Rh(\eta^5-C_5R_5)(CO)_2]$ (R = H or Me) with R'C=CR' (R' = CF₃¹⁷ or CO₂Me¹⁸) to yield $[Rh(\eta^5-C_5R_5)\{\eta^4-(R'-CCR')_3\}]$. Only the products derived from alkynes with strongly electron-withdrawing substituents (R') could be isolated.

There is no evidence that alkynes behave similarly to the phosphaalkyne in our systems and in particular we have not obtained the η^4 -ligated cyclobutadiene complexes analogous to the η^4 -diphosphacyclobutadiene **1a**. Other cases of preferential formation of the η^4 -1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ring in comparison with cyclobutadiene are known for Mo¹³ or Co.⁹

Experimental

All the manipulations and reactions were carried out in the absence of air using standard inert gas flow and vacuum techniques. Solvents were purified by standard procedures. The compounds [RhCl(triphos)],¹⁹ [Pt₂Cl₄(PEt₃)₂]²⁰ and P=CBu^{t21} were prepared by published methods, whereas NaBPh₄ and the

1-alkynes HC=CR (R = CO_2Me or CO_2Et) were commercially available (Aldrich).

Infrared measurements (KBr pellets) were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 683 spectrophotometer, ¹H, ³¹P and ¹³C NMR on a Varian Unity 300 spectrometer; δ values are in ppm relative to SiMe₄ (¹H and ¹³C) or to H₃PO₄ (³¹P). Abbreviations: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = complex multiplet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublets of triplets, dm = doublet of complex multiplets, ddm = doublet of doublets of complex multiplets, dtt = doublet of triplets, ddt = doublet of doublets of triplets, br = broad. The FAB mass spectrometric measurements were performed on a Trio 2000 spectrometer at the Centro de Química Estrutural. Positive-ion spectra were obtained by bombarding 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrices of the samples with 8 keV (*ca.* 1.28×10^{15} J) Xe atoms. Mass calibration for data system acquisition was achieved using CsI.

Preparations

 $[Rh(triphos){\eta^{4}-(PCBu^{t})_{2}}][BF_{4}]$ 1a. A solution of [RhCl(triphos)] (0.150 g, 0.223 mmol) in thf (10 cm³) was treated with a 1:1 mixture of $P=CBu^t + (Me_3Si)_2O$ (0.15 cm³, 1.0 mmol of P=CBut) followed by addition of solid TlBF₄ (0.10 g, 0.34 mmol) and stirred for 15 h. The yellow-orange solution was then filtered and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted in CH₂Cl₂ (10 cm³), the solution filtered and reduced in volume to ca. 1 cm³. Addition of Et₂O (10 cm³) precipitated complex 1a as a yellow solid which was separated by decantation, washed with Et₂O (10 cm³) and dried in vacuo (0.16 g, 80%) (Found: C, 56.8; H, 5.9. C₄₄H₅₁BF₄P₅Rh requires C, 57.1; H, 5.6%). FAB-MS: m/z 839 (M^+ for ¹⁰³Rh) and 638 $([M - 2PCBu^{t}]^{+})$. IR: $\tilde{\nu}/cm^{-1}$ 1050s (br) (BF₄⁻). NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): ¹H, δ 0.017 (s, 9 H, Bu^t), 1.06 (s, 9 H, Bu^t), 2.45–3.04 (m, 8 H, CH₂) and 6.88-7.90 (m, 25 H, Ph); ³¹P-{¹H}, [AA'MR-R'X] spin system (A,A' = P₁P₂; M = P₃; R,R' = P₄,P₅; X = Rh), δ 83.51 [M, P₁P₂, $J(P_1P_2) = 17.0$, $J(P_1Rh) = J(P_2Rh) = 17.1$], 81.45 [dtt, P_3 , $J(P_1P_3) = J(P_2P_3) = 8.1$, $J(P_3P_4) = J(P_3P_5) = 25.5$, $J(P_3Rh) = 138.0$] and 58.06 [ddt, P_4P_5 , $J(P_1P_4) = J(P_2P_5) = 16.4$, $J(P_2P_4) = J(P_1P_5) = 2.4$, $J(P_4P_5) = 25$, $J(P_4Rh) = J(P_5Rh) = 129.5$ Hz); ¹³C-{¹H}, δ 29.87 [dd, CH₂, ²J(CP) = 11, ¹J(CP) = 29], 31.36 [dt, CH₂, ${}^{2}J(CP) = 8$, ${}^{1}J(CP) = 28$ Hz], 32.05 [s, br, C(CH₃)₃], 33.30 (s, br, CMe₃) and 101.0 (m, br, P=C).

[Rh(triphos){η⁴-(PCBu¹)₂}][BPh₄] 1b. To NaBPh₄ (0.025 g, 0.070 mmol) was added a solution of [Rh(triphos){η⁴:η¹:η¹-[W(CO)₅]₂(PCBu¹)₂}][BF₄] **2** (see below) (0.046 g, 0.030 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 cm³), methanol (15 cm³) was layered on top and the reaction allowed to proceed without stirring. In 2 d a precipitate of complex 1b formed as fibrous, orange crystals. The supernatant solution was decanted and the crystals dried *in vacuo* (0.028 g, 85% yield) (Found: C, 70.2; H, 6.2. C₆₈H₇₁BP₅Rh requires C, 70.6; H, 6.2%). FAB-MS; *m*/*z* 837 (*M*⁺) and 637 ([*M* – (PCBu¹)₂]⁺). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 0.013 (s, br, 9 H, Bu^t), 1.02 (s, br, 9 H, Bu^t), 1.96–2.80 (m, 8 H, CH₂) and 6.69–7.78 (m, 45 H, Ph).

[Rh(triphos){η⁴: η¹: η¹-[W(CO)₅]₂(PCBu[†])₂}][BF₂] **2.** A solution of [Rh(triphos){η⁴-(PCBu[†])₂}][BF₄] **1a** (0.27 g, 0.30 mmol) in thf (15 cm³) was treated with a solution of [W(CO)₅(thf)] (0.80 mmol) in thf (20 cm³), and stirred in the dark for 3 d to form a clear, dark orange solution. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was extracted in CH₂Cl₂ (30 cm³), the solution filtered and taken to dryness *in vacuo*. The resulting dark brown sticky solid was washed with Et₂O (10 cm³) by the freeze–thaw technique and dried *in vacuo* to give a green-orange powder of complex **2** (0.35 g, 77% yield) (Found: C, 43.5; H, 3.7. C₅₄H₅₁BF₄O₁₀P₅RhW₂·2thf requires C, 43.4; H, 3.9%). FAB-MS: *m/z* 838 ([*M* – 2W(CO)₅]⁺) and 637 ([*M* – 2W(CO)₅-2PCBu[†]]⁺). IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ 1940s (br) [ν (CO)] and 1080s (br)

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{BF_4^{-}}). \ \mathrm{NMR} \ (\mathrm{CD_2Cl_2} \ 25 \ ^\circ\mathrm{C}): \ ^1\mathrm{H}, \ \delta \ 0.007 \ (\mathrm{s}, \ \mathrm{br}, \ 9 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{Bu^t}), \ 1.04 \\ (\mathrm{s}, \ \mathrm{br}, \ 9 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{Bu^t}), \ 2.15 - 3.04 \ (\mathrm{m}, \ 8 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{CH_2}) \ \mathrm{and} \ 6.90 - 7.94 \ (\mathrm{m}, \ 25 \\ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{Ph}); \ \ ^{31}\mathrm{P} - \{ ^1\mathrm{H} \}, \ \delta \ 77.76 \ [\mathrm{m}, \ \mathrm{P_1P_2}, \ J(\mathrm{P_1P_2}) = 16, \ J(\mathrm{P_1Rh}) = \\ J(\mathrm{P_2Rh}) = 24], \ 75.69 \ [\mathrm{dtt}, \ \mathrm{P_3}, \ J(\mathrm{P_1P_3}) = J(\mathrm{P_2P_3}) = 8, \ J(\mathrm{P_3Rh}) = 138, \\ J(\mathrm{P_3P_4}) = J(\mathrm{P_3P_5}) = 138] \ \ \mathrm{and} \ \ 52.24 \ [\mathrm{dm}, \ \ \mathrm{P_4P_5}, \ J(\mathrm{P_4Rh}) = \\ J(\mathrm{P_5Rh}) = 129, \ J(\mathrm{P_1P_4}) = J(\mathrm{P_2P_5}) = 17, \ J(\mathrm{P_4P_5}) = 25 \ \mathrm{Hz}]. \end{array}$

[Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 : η^1 -[PtCl₂(PEt₃)]₂(PCBu')₂}][BF₄] 3 and [Rh(triphos){ η^4 : η^1 -[PtCl₂(PEt₃)](PCBu')₂}][BF₄] 4. A solution of [Rh(triphos){ η^4 -(PCBu')₂}][BF₄] 1a (0.10 g, 0.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 cm³) was treated with a solution of [Pt₂Cl₄(PEt₃)] (0.038 g, 0.050 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 cm³) and the mixture stirred for 15 h. The solvent was pumped off and the orange residue washed with Et₂O (2 × 5 cm³) and dried *in vacuo* to form an orange solid of complex 3. FAB-MS: *m*/z 1605 (*M*⁺), 1221 ([*M* – PtCl₂(PEt₃)]⁺), 837 ([*M* – {PtCl₂(PEt₃)}₂]⁺) and 637 ([*M* – {PtCl₂(PEt₃)}₂ – (PCBu')₂]⁺). IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ 1080s (br) (BF₄⁻).

In solution, the diadduct **3** converts into the corresponding monoadduct **4** identified by ³¹P-{¹H} NMR of a CD₂Cl₂ solution of **3**: δ 101.59 [ddm, P₂, $J(P_2P_6) = 493$, $J(P_2P_1)$ 70, $J(P_2Pt) = 1987$], 84.17 [dm, P₃, $J(P_3Rh) = 141.5$], 71.94 (dm, br, P₁), 63.73 (m, P₄), 54.62 [dm, P₅, $J(P_5Rh) = 146.5$], 18.55 [d, P₆ (PEt₃), $J(P_6P_2) = 493$; $J(P_6Pt) = 2901$] and 12.08 {s, liberated [Pt₂Cl₄(PEt₃)]; J(PPt) = 3827 Hz}.

 $[Rh(triphos){\eta^{4}-(HCCR)_{3}}][BF_{4}]$ (R = CO₂Me 5a or CO₂Et **5b).** A solution of [RhCl(triphos)] (0.20 g, 0.30 mmol) in thf (50 cm³) was treated with the appropriate HC=CR [1.2 mmol, *i.e.* 0.10 cm³ (R = CO₂Me) or 0.12 cm³ (R = CO₂Et)] followed by TlBF₄ (0.17 g, 0.58 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 2 d. The solution was then filtered and taken to dryness in vacuo. Extraction with CH₂Cl₂ (10 cm³), filtration of the solution and removal of the solvent in vacuo left a residue that was washed with Et₂O (30 cm³), dried in vacuo and recrystallised from CH_2Cl_2 -Et₂O to give a dark red (5a) or orange (5b) solid which was filtered off and dried in vacuo (ca. 80% yields). Complex 5a (Found: C, 53.6; H, 4.5. C₄₆H₄₅BF₄O₆P₃Rh·CH₂Cl₂ requires C, 53.2; H, 4.5%): FAB-MS m/z 888 (M⁺), 805 ([M - HCCR]⁺] and 638 ($[M - 3HCCR]^+$); IR \tilde{v}/cm^{-1} 1730m and 1680s [v(CO)], 1070vs (br) (BF₄⁻). Complex **5b** (Found: C, 55.6; H, 4.9. $C_{49}H_{51}BF_4O_6P_3Rh \cdot \frac{1}{2}CH_2Cl_2$ requires C, 56.0; H, 4.9%): FAB-MS m/z 931 (M^+) , 833 $([M - HCCR]^+)$ and 637 $([M - 3HCCR]^+)$; IR \tilde{v}/cm^{-1} 1690s [v(CO)] and 1050vs (br) $(\mathbf{BF}_{\mathbf{A}}^{-}).$

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the PRAXIS XXI programme, the Junta Nacional de Investigação Científica e Tecnológica (JNICT) and the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) (Portugal), as well as by the Treaty of Windsor programme (The Portuguese Council of Rectors/The British Council) and the EC Network ERBCHRXCT 940501.

References

- K. B. Dillon, F. Mathey and J. F. Nixon, *Phosphorus: The Carbon Copy*, Wiley, New York, 1998, p. 366; J. F. Nixon, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **145**, 201; *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1995, 319; *Chem. Ind.*, 1993, 404; *Endeavour*, 1991, **15**, 49; *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 1327.
- M. Regitz, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1994, 31, 663; Proc. 4th Symp. Org. Synth. via Organometallics, Aachen, 1992, 93; Chem. Rev., 1990, 90, 191; Heteroatom Chemistry, ed. E. Block, VCH, New York, 1990, p. 295; M. Regitz and P. Binger, Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry, eds. M. Regitz and O. J. Scherer, Georg Thieme, Stuttgart, 1990, ch. 2.
- 3 P. B. Hitchcock, M. J. Maah and J. F. Nixon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem Commun., 1986, 737.
- 4 P. Binger, R. Milczarek, R. Mynott, M. Regitz and W. Rosch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 644.

- 5 P. Binger, R. Milczarek, R. Mynott, C. Kruger, Y. H. Tsay, E. Raabe and M. Regitz, *Chem. Ber.*, 1988, **121**, 637.
- 6 P. Binger, B. Biedenbach, R. Mynott, R. Benn, A. Rufinska, P. Betz and C. Krüger, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1990, 1771.
- 7 P. B. Hitchcock, M. J. Maah, J. F. Nixon and C. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 844.
- 8 P. B. Hitchcock, M. J. Maah and J. F. Nixon, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 1991, **2**, 253.
- 9 R. M. Matos, J. F. Nixon and J. Okuda, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1994, 222, 13.
- 10 P. Binger, B. Biedenbach, R. Mynott, C. Krüger, P. Betz and M. Regitz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1988, 27, 1157.
- 11 H. F. Dare, J. A. K. Howard, M. U. Pilotti, F. G. A. Stone and J. Szameitat, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1990, 2263.
- 12 R. Gleiter, I. Hyla-Kryspin, P. Binger and M. Regitz, *Organometallics*, 1992, **11**, 177.
- 13 P. B. Hitchcock, M. J. Maah, M. Green and J. F. Nixon, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 466, 153.
- 14 P. B. Hitchcock, J. A. Johnson, M. A. N. D. A. Lemos, M. F. Meidine, J. F. Nixon and A. J. L. Pombeiro, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 645.

- 15 S. S. P. R. Almeida and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 4469; M. F. N. N. Carvalho, S. S. P. R. Almeida, A. J. L. Pombeiro and R. A. Henderson, *ibid.*, 1997, 16, 5441.
- 16 M. F. Meidine, M. A. N. D. A. Lemos, A. J. L. Pombeiro, J. F. Nixon and P. B. Hitchcock, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 3319; P. B. Hitchcock, M. A. N. D. A. Lemos, M. F. Meidine, J. F. Nixon and A. J. L. Pombeiro, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 402, C23.
- 17 R. S. Dickson and G. Wilkinson, *Chem. Ind.*, 1963, 1432; *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, 2699; R. S. Dickson and H. P. Hirsch, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1974, **27**, 61; M. R. Churchill and R. Mason, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, 365.
- 18 Y. Wakatsuki and H. Yamazaki, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 64, 393.
- 19 R. B. King, P. N. Kapoor and R. N. Kapoor, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1971, **10**, 1841.
- 20 F. R. Hartley, Organomet. Chem. Rev. A, 1970, 6, 119.
- 21 T. Allspach, M. Regitz, G. Becker and W. Becker, Synthesis, 1986, 31.

Paper 9/03130H